

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/520,691	07/26/2005	Xinming Shi	9896-057/NP	9705
27572 7550 98/05/2008 HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C. P.O. BOX 828			EXAMINER	
			THOMPSON, JR, OTIS L	
BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2619	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/05/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/520,691 SHI, XINMING Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit OTIS L. THOMPSON, JR 2619 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 May 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) Information: Jacksuse Statement(s) (PTO/Stice)
5] Notice of Informat Patent Atylication
Paper No(s)Mail Date
6 Dither:

2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage

application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Application/Control Number: 10/520,691 Page 2

Art Unit: 2619

Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments, filed May 12, 2008, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-5 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive.
 Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of the prior art presented in the detailed action below.

 Applicant's arguments filed May 12, 2008 with respect to new claims 6-13 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. See detailed action below.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1, 2, and 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Byers et al. (US 6,693,901 B1).
- 5. Regarding claim 1, Byers et al. teaches the claimed invention in the BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION section of the patent. Byers et al. teaches a fabric based backplane system which uses a central high-speed fabric or hub to switch traffic between modules (i.e. sending a message to the centralized exchanging and controlling unit by a source module, processing the message by the centralized

Art Unit: 2619

exchanging and controlling unit, and forwarding the processed message to a destination module by the centralized exchanging and controlling unit) (Column 1 lines 42-46). The modules are connected to the central fabric over a cable or backplane in a star topology (i.e. connecting the centralized exchanging and controlling unit with each of the modules in the device through a communication control interface of the module) (Column 1 lines 42-46). It is well known in the art that in a star topology, each module has a separate physical interface or connection to the central fabric. Byers et al. does not specifically teach a step of setting a centralized exchanging and controlling unit in the device, however, the setting step is inherent in the fabric based backplane system because it allows the modules of the system to communication with each other (Column 1 lines 49-51, see "...full central fabric...must be installed before any modules can be interconnected...").

While fabric based backplane systems are costly, as taught by Byers et al. (Column 1 lines 49-54), the central fabrics of these systems provide large bandwidths needed to support high-speed computer or broadband communications (Column 1 lines 46-49).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the applicant's invention was made to modify the teachings of Byers et al. in order to employ a high-speed large bandwidth system (fabric based backplane) needed to support high-speed computer or broadband communications.

Regarding claim 2, Byers et al. discloses the claimed invention above but does not specifically disclose broadcasting the message by the centralized exchanging and

Art Unit: 2619

controlling unit, comparing, by each of the modules, the destination address of the message with an address of the module, and if the two addresses are identical, receiving the message by the module.

However, it is well known in the art that when broadcasting a message, whether in a system device or in a network, a module or node, whose address matches the destination address indicated in the broadcast message, receives the message while other modules or nodes discard the message if the addresses do not match. This is advantageous in that the *centralized exchanging and controlling unit* does not have to perform any processing on the message, thereby reducing communication delay in broadcasting. The *centralized exchanging and controlling unit* only has to forward the message to all the modules or nodes, and the modules or nodes perform necessary processing to determine if the message is addressed thereto.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the applicant's invention was made to modify Byers et al. to include broadcasting from the central fabric and destination matching at the separately connected modules in order to keep the central fabric from having to perform any processing on messages, thereby decreasing communication delay.

6. Regarding claim 5, Byers et al. discloses sending the message from the source module to the destination module directly through exchanging by the centralized exchanging and controlling unit (Column 1 lines 42-46, see "...central high-speed fabric or hub to switch traffic between all modules...").

Application/Control Number: 10/520,691
Art Unit: 2619

- Claims 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over
 Byers et al. as applied to claim 2 above, and further in view of Smith (US 6,792,515 B2).
- 8. Regarding claims 3 and 4, Byers et al. discloses the claimed invention above but fails to specifically disclose presetting address pins of each of the modules in the device, and each of the modules in the device getting address of the module by reading a current state of the address pins of the module and presetting the state of address pins in each of the modules by setting a voltage state on a backplane circuit.

However, Smith discloses a system in which CPU-based systems are connected to a single PCI bus, through a backplane connection (Column 1 lines 55-60; Figure 2). The address of the CPU-based system is defined by the state of a set of geographic address pins of a connector that connects the CPU-system to the peripheral bus (i.e. presetting address pins of each of the modules by reading the current state of address pins) (Claim 1, see "...wherein the geographic address..."). Smith further discloses that each of the geographic address pins is physically tied to either a logical low voltage (ground) or a logical high voltage (Vcc) at the connector, and the geographic address is determined by the binary number defined by the pins (i.e. presetting the state of address pins in each of the modules by setting a voltage state on a backplane circuit) (Column 4 lines 55-61). Smith is advantageous in that it allows processors to communicate with one another without having to incorporate any signification memory mapping hardware (Column 6 lines 43-47).

The motivation to combine the teachings of Byers et al. and Smith lies the fact that both inventions are directed toward backplane systems, and a backplane is

Art Unit: 2619

typically constructed of a multi-layer circuit board with conductive traces selectively routed to provide the high-speed interconnection. Connectors are provided on the backplane to couple circuit boards, packs, or modules which are held in place by a slotted chassis (Byers et al., Column 1 lines 14-17). Hence, it is obvious that the fabric-based star topology backplane system taught by Byers et al. could perform the same steps, in setting the address pins of each module, as those steps of Smith cited in the previous paragraph.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the applicant's invention was made to incorporate the addressing method of Smith into Byers et al. in order to allow modules in a fabric-based backplane system to communicate with one another without having to incorporate significant memory mapping hardware into the system.

- Claims 6-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over
 Byers et al. in view of Smith and further in view of Gleeson et al. (US 6,763,023).
- 10. Regarding claims 6 and 10, Byers et al. teaches a method and device for realizing communication between modules of a system device, wherein a centralized exchanging and controlling unit is set in the system device and connected with each module of the system device separately through a respective communication control interface of each module in the BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION section of the patent. Specifically, Byers et al. teaches a fabric based backplane system which uses a central high-speed fabric or hub to switch traffic between modules (i.e. centralized

Art Unit: 2619

exchanging and controlling unit and communication between the modules of the device) (Column 1 lines 42-46). The modules are connected to the central fabric over a cable or backplane in a star topology (i.e. connected with each module of the system device separately through a respective communication control interface of each module) (Column 1 lines 42-46). It is well known in the art that in a star topology, each module has a separate physical interface or connection to the central fabric. Byers et al. does not specifically teach a step of setting a centralized exchanging and controlling unit in the device, however, the setting step is inherent in the fabric based backplane system because it allows the modules of the system to communication with each other (Column 1 lines 49-51, see "...full central fabric...must be installed before any modules can be interconnected..."). While fabric based backplane systems are costly, as taught by Byers et al. (Column 1 lines 49-54), the central fabrics of these systems provide large bandwidths needed to support high-speed computer or broadband communications (Column 1 lines 46-49).

Byers et al. does not teach or suggest presetting address pins of each of the modules in the device, and each of the modules in the device getting address of the module by reading a current state of the address pins of the module.

However, Smith discloses a system in which CPU-based systems are connected to a single PCI bus, through a backplane connection (Column 1 lines 55-60; Figure 2). The address of the CPU-based system is defined by the state of a set of geographic address pins of a connector that connects the CPU-system to the peripheral bus (i.e. presetting address pins of each of the modules by reading the current state of address

Art Unit: 2619

pins) (Claim 1, see "...wherein the geographic address..."). Smith is advantageous in that it allows processors to communicate with one another without having to incorporate any signification memory mapping hardware (Column 6 lines 43-47).

The motivation to combine the teachings of Byers et al. and Smith lies the fact that both inventions are directed toward backplane systems, and a backplane is typically constructed of a multi-layer circuit board with conductive traces selectively routed to provide the high-speed interconnection. Connectors are provided on the backplane to couple circuit boards, packs, or modules which are held in place by a slotted chassis (Byers et al., Column 1 lines 14-17). Hence, it is obvious that the fabric-based star topology backplane system taught by Byers et al. could perform the same steps, in setting the address pins of each module, as those steps of Smith cited in the previous paragraph.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the applicant's invention was made to incorporate the addressing method of Smith into Byers et al. in order to allow modules in a fabric-based backplane system to communicate with one another without having to incorporate significant memory mapping hardware into the system.

Byers et al. in view of Smith does not specifically disclose sending a message carrying a destination address to the centralized exchanging and controlling unit by a source module, processing the message by the centralized exchanging and controlling unit, and forwarding the processed message to a destination module by the centralized

Art Unit: 2619

exchanging and controlling unit to the destination address, wherein the destination address is an address of the destination module.

However, Gleeson et al. discloses this process being performed in a network switch illustrated in figure 3. In step 31, a packet containing a destination address is received at a network switch from a source. In step 34, the destination address of the packet is compared against addresses in a database to see if a match is found. If a match is found, then in step 35 the packet is forwarded to the destination using the destination address indicated by the packet. Although Gleeson et al. is directed toward a network consisting of a switch with connected nodes, it is obvious that the same process can be applied to the fabric-based star topology backplane system with separately connected modules. It is further noted that Applicant relates and compares the instant application to a network switch type application (such as Gleeson et al.) on pages 4-6 where Applicant discusses a HUB or SWITCH structure consisting of Ethernet interfaces. Gleeson et al. is advantageous in that it incorporates the learning of addresses at the network switch (Column 3 lines 66-67), which obviously enables the switch to perform quick switching and processing decisions based on destination addresses indicated in packets.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the applicant's invention was made to incorporate the switching process of Gleeson et al. into the system of Byers et al. in view of Smith in order to allow the fabric of the star topology backplane system to perform quick decisions processing and forwarding messages between modules.

Application/Control Number: 10/520,691 Page 10

Art Unit: 2619

11. Regarding claims 7 and 11, Byers et al. in view of Smith and further in view of Gleeson et al. discloses broadcasting the message by the centralized exchanging and controlling unit to all the modules in the system device (Gleeson et al., Figure 3 step 36; Column 4 lines 23-40, see "....flood' or 'broadcast' the packet...to all ports...") and comparing the destination address carried in the message with its own address by each of the modules in the system device, and if the two addresses are identical, receiving the message by the module (Gleeson et al., Column 4 lines 23-40, see "...response from a device having the network address identified in the packet...").

- 12. Regarding claims 8 and 12, Byers et al. in view of Smith and further in view of Gleeson et al. discloses presetting the state of address pins in each module by setting a voltage station on a backplane circuit. Specifically, Smith discloses an addressing method in which each of the geographic address pins (See section 9 above) is physically tied to either a logical low voltage (ground) or a logical high voltage (Vcc) at the connector, and the geographic address is determined by the binary number defined by the pins (i.e. presetting the state of address pins in each of the modules by setting a voltage state on a backplane circuit) (Column 4 lines 55-61).
- 13. Regarding claims 9 and 13, Byers et al. in view of Smith and further in view of Gleeson et al. discloses sending the message from the source module to the destination module directly through the centralized exchanging and controlling unit (Byers et al., Column 1 lines 42-46, see "...central high-speed fabric or hub to switch traffic between all modules...").

Application/Control Number: 10/520,691 Page 11

Art Unit: 2619

Conclusion

14. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Chang et al. (US 6,697,368 B2) discloses high-performance network switch. Angle et al. (US 6,519,225 B1) discloses a backpressure mechanism for a network device.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to OTIS L. THOMPSON, JR whose telephone number is (571)270-1953. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday 7:30 am to 5:00 pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chirag Shah can be reached on (571)272-3144. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Otis L Thompson, Jr./

Page 12

Application/Control Number: 10/520,691

Art Unit: 2619

Examiner, Art Unit 2619

July 24, 2008

/Chirag G Shah/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2619